

INITEU STATES DEFARTIBLES OF

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
197118,359 U7717798 KELLER J M122-387

021567 MM21/0917 -WELLS ST JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY & MATKIN 601 W FIRST AVE

EXAMINER NGUYEN, C

601 W FIRST AVE
SUITE 1300
SPOKANE WA 99201-3817

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/17/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Ü. PT

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

*U.S. GPO: 1998-437-638/80022

1- File Copy

rait urraper No.___

	T			
	Application No.	Applicant(s) KELLER	Ad.	
Office Action Summary	69/118,359 Examiner	RELLER	Group Art Unit	
The DANK INC DATE of the communication and an			reenendenee address	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sneet b	oeneath the cor	respondence address—	
Peri d for Response		,		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH	(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statut ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thir S from the mailing o	ty (30) days will be considered timely. date of this communication .	
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		···-	•	
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 			he merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
□ Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)			_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)			_ is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)			_ is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s) 1-39			ect to restriction or election	
Application Papers				
$\hfill\Box$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the International 	e priority documents h	ave been	•	
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s) 🗆 🗆	Interview Summa	ary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			al Patent Application, PTO-152	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other		
Office Acti n Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Serial Number: 09/118,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 32-39 drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 316.
- II. Claims 1-31 drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 22+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those of the Group II invention, for example, providing the impurity while forming the floating gate.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2811

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tom Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 308-2772.

Tom Thomas

Supervisory Patent Examiner

/Nomo

Technology Center 28000

September 11, 1998